



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/071,189	05/01/1998	JOHN MADDALOZZO JR.	AT9-98-024	5241
7:	590 03/26/2003			
BRACEWELL & PATTERSON LLP Intellectual Property Law P O Box 969			EXAMINER	
			SHANKAR, VIJAY	
Austin, TX 78767-0969			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2673	16
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	$i \nearrow$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9

S)

Advisory Action

Par . T

Application No. 09/071,189

Applicant(s)

Art Unit

Examiner
VIJAY SHANKAR

2673

Maddalozzo Jr.



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED <u>Mar 14, 2003</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a fi	nal
rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition	for
allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination	
(RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) X The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whicher is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION See MRED 706 07(4)	
See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate	
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originate in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	The ginally
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	1
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	
(d) \square they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	— in
5. The a) affidavit, b) affidavit, b) affidavit, b) arequest for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place t	
5. W The a) — amount, b) — exhibit, or c) W request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place t	1 _
application in condition for allowance because: <u>Yoshinobu et al teaches a display (5 in fig.1); and detecting if a user's hands are positioned at the touch sensiti</u>	
Yoshinobu et al teaches a display (5 in fig. 1); and detecting if a user's hands are positioned at the touch sensiti	ive_
Yoshinobu et al teaches a display (5 in fig. 1); and detecting if a user's hands are positioned at the touch sensition input area (figs. 5-7; column 5, line 55- col.6, line 65 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raise.	ive_
Yoshinobu et al teaches a display (5 in fig. 1); and detecting if a user's hands are positioned at the touch sensitive input area (figs. 5-7; column 5, line 55- col. 6, line 65 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raise by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an	ive_
Yoshinobu et al teaches a display (5 in fig. 1); and detecting if a user's hands are positioned at the touch sensitivinput area (figs. 5-7; column 5, line 55- col.6, line 65 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raise by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)□ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ive_
Yoshinobu et al teaches a display (5 in fig. 1); and detecting if a user's hands are positioned at the touch sensitive input area (figs. 5-7; column 5, line 55- col.6, line 65 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raise by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	ive_
Yoshinobu et al teaches a display (5 in fig. 1); and detecting if a user's hands are positioned at the touch sensitive input area (figs. 5-7; column 5, line 55- col. 6, line 65 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raise by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	ive_
Yoshinobu et al teaches a display (5 in fig.1); and detecting if a user's hands are positioned at the touch sensitive input area (figs.5-7; column 5, line 55- col.6, line 65 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raise by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	sed
Yoshinobu et al teaches a display (5 in fig.1); and detecting if a user's hands are positioned at the touch sensitive input area (figs.5-7; column 5, line 55- col.6, line 65 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raise by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	sed
Yoshinobu et al teaches a display (5 in fig. 1); and detecting if a user's hands are positioned at the touch sensitive input area (figs. 5-7; column 5, line 55- col.6, line 65 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raise by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	sed

ART UNIT 2673